

# The Ultimate "Fake News" List



by [Tyler Durden](#)

*Authored by Paul Joseph Watson and Alex Jones, originally posted at InfoWars.com.*



**Isn't it ironic how the mainstream media has the nerve to lecture everyone else about "fake news" when they are the primary source of fake news on a consistent basis stretching back years?**

Fake news stories and fake narratives put out by the mainstream media have resulted in deaths, destruction and people's lives being ruined.

**The most harmful fake news is routinely published by the mainstream media. They are the main progenitors of fake news.**

– The fake news that Saddam Hussein had [weapons of mass destruction](#) and that [Iraq was involved in 9/11](#), dutifully regurgitated without question by the mainstream media, resulted in hundreds of thousands of dead Iraqis, thousands of dead and injured U.S. troops, and the destabilization of an entire continent.



- The fake news that the **rebels in Syria** were **“moderates”** who did not have jihadist sympathies and should be supported led to the destruction of Syria, Libya and the rise of ISIS.
- The fake news narrative that the media was balanced in its coverage of the presidential election was completely obliterated when Wikileaks emails revealed that countless mainstream media reporters were **in bed with the Clinton campaign**, feeding them **debate questions** beforehand and conspiring with Hillary’s staff to **portray her in a positive light**.
- The fake news that **George Zimmerman** was obsessed with Trayvon Martin’s race before the altercation that led to Martin’s death was accomplished by means of **NBC deceptively editing an audio tape**. This incident stoked racial tensions across the country and laid the groundwork for the violent ‘Black Lives Matter’ movement that was to follow.
- The fake news that produced the **“hands up, don’t shoot” narrative**, which was proven to be **completely fraudulent**, led to riots, violent attacks and looting in Ferguson, Missouri, as well as numerous other U.S. cities. Even after the rioting began, the mainstream media **continued to legitimize** the unrest. Fake news outlets continued to parrot the “hands up, don’t shoot” narrative even *after* it was proven false.



- The fake news that saw innumerable people **accused of rape at college campuses across America**, claims that were [proven wrong](#), ruined people’s lives and perpetuated the [myth](#) (fake news) that one in five women are raped on college campuses.
- The fake news that [George W. Bush served dishonorably during his time in the Air National Guard](#) was broadcast by CBS News with the aid of **fake documents**. In circulating this fake news, CBS tried to influence the 2004 presidential election but only ended up crucifying their own credibility, leading to Dan Rather’s resignation six months later.
- The fake news that [NBC anchor Brian Williams faced enemy fire](#) while helicoptering into Iraq in 2003 was exposed when soldiers who were aboard the helicopter blew the whistle on his lies. Despite admittedly putting out fake news, Williams still has a career in broadcast journalism.
- The fake news narrative that **Donald Trump somehow represents the next coming of Hitler** has provoked a hysterical [anti-Trump hate crime wave](#) across America, with people and property being attacked on a routine basis. The same hysterical fake news narrative was also responsible for violence and riots at Trump events throughout the campaign cycle, as well as [assassination attempts](#) on Trump’s life.
- The fake news that **Donald Trump had no chance whatsoever of winning the presidential election** was proudly pushed by countless mainstream media outlets, with the [Huffington Post](#) even predicting that Hillary Clinton had a 98% chance of winning the presidency. When this fake news narrative was completely demolished on November 8, it swept away trust in political polling and the mainstream media to an even greater degree, prompting the backlash that you now see with the corporate press calling everyone else “fake news” when they are the real fake news.



Huffington Post  
@HuffingtonPost



Follow

Our @pollsterpolls model gives @HillaryClinton a 98.1% chance of winning the presidency elections.  
[elections.huffingtonpost.com/2016/forecast/...](https://www.huffingtonpost.com/2016/forecast/)



RETWEETS

1,251

LIKES

1,937



8:25 AM - 7 Nov 2016

### FULL LIST OF FAKE NEWS OUTLETS

- The New York Times
- The Washington Post
- CNN
- NBC News
- MSNBC
- CBS News
- ABC News
- Salon.com
- The Huffington Post
- Rolling Stone
- BBC News
- Sky News
- Financial Times
- Politico
- New York Daily News

- L.A. Times
- USA Today
- US News & World Report
- CBC
- Gawker
- Newsweek
- Time
- Business Insider
- Daily Beast
- VICE
- Yahoo News
- Daily Kos
- Young Turks
- Slate
- NPR
- PBS
- Raw Story
- New Yorker
- BuzzFeed
- MoveOn
- Think Progress
- Media Matters
- Wonkette
- Center for American Progress
- Little Green Footballs
- The Economist

Below is a list of fake news reporters who colluded with the Clinton campaign to promote fake news.

## List of "journalists" who colluded with the Clinton campaign

<u>"Journalist"</u>	<u>Outlet</u>	<u>Source</u>
Cecilia Vega	ABC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
David Muir	ABC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Diane Sawyer	ABC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
George Stephanopoulos	ABC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Jon Karl	ABC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
John Heillman	Bloomberg	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Mark Halperin	Bloomberg	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Norah O'Donnell	CBS	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Vicki Gordon	CBS	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
John Harwood	CNBC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Brianna Keilar	CNN	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
David Chalian	CNN	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Gloria Borger	CNN	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Jeff Zeleny	CNN	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
John Berman	CNN	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Kate Bouldan	CNN	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Mark Preston	CNN	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Sam Feist	CNN	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Wolf Blitzer	CNN	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Jackie Kucinich	Daily Beast	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Whitney Snyder	Huffington Post	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Betsy Fisher Martin	MORE	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Alex Wagner	MSNBC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Beth Fouhy	MSNBC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Chuck Todd	MSNBC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Phil Griffin	MSNBC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Rachel Maddow	MSNBC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Rachel Racusen	MSNBC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Savannah Guthrie	NBC	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Jamil Smith	New Republic	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Amy Chozik	New York Times	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Gail Collins	New York Times	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Jonathan Martin	New York Times	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Maggie Haberman	New York Times	<a href="#">DNC Leak</a>
Mark Leibovich	New York Times	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Pat Healey	New York Times	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Ryan Liza	New Yorker	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Sandra Sobieraj Westfall	PEOPLE	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Glenn Thrush	POLITICO	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Kenneth Vogel	POLITICO	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Mike Allen	POLITICO	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Jessica Valenti	The Guardian	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Monisha Rajesh	The Guardian	<a href="#">The Telegraph</a>
Sady Doyle	The Guardian	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Brent Budowsky	The Hill	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Alyssa Mastramonoco	VICE	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Jon Allen	VOX	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>
Karen Tumulty	Washington Post	<a href="#">Wikileaks</a>



**This list is by no means exhaustive**, and there are many reporters within these organizations who do not peddle fake news and have spoken out against the mainstream media's effort to brand dissenting opinion as "fake news".

For example, Matt Taibbi (no fan of Infowars), has [called](#) the Washington Post's fake news blacklist "disgusting" and "shameful".

**Glenn Greenwald, who has worked with several of the organizations on this list in the past, also [completely eviscerated](#) the credibility of the "fake news list" being used by the Washington Post.**

The entire "fake news" narrative being pushed by the mainstream media has nothing whatsoever to do with concerns over people being misled.

If that were the case, the mainstream media itself would stop habitually lying to the American people and its trustworthiness wouldn't be in the toilet.

**The whole "fake news" narrative is clearly part of a dirty tricks campaign to [pressure governments](#), Google, Facebook, Twitter, YouTube and other tech giants to censor information that is inconvenient to the establishment, for which the mainstream media serves as a mouthpiece.**

We are competing with the mainstream media and they're not happy about dissident voices challenging their monopoly on reality. That's why they're forced to resort to underhanded and deceptive means through which to silence their ideological opposition.

*By circulating this article and this fake news list, we are not calling for these outlets to be censored, we are simply drawing attention to the fact that the very same entities who cry "fake news" are the primary sources for the most damaging, harmful and woefully inaccurate fake news stories in the history of modern journalism.*

## Washington Post Appends "Russian Propaganda Fake News" Story, Admits It May Be Fake



by [Tyler Durden](#)

In the latest example why the "mainstream media" is facing a historic crisis of confidence among its readership, facing unprecedented blowback following Craig Timberg November 24 *Washington Post* story "[Russian propaganda effort helped spread 'fake news' during election, experts say](#)", on

Wednesday a lengthy editor's note appeared on top of the original article in which the editor not only distances the WaPo from the "experts" quoted in the original article whose "work" served as the basis for the entire article (and which became the most read WaPo story the day it was published) but also admits the Post could not **"vouch for the validity of PropOrNot's finding regarding any individual media outlet"**, in effect admitting the entire story may have been, drumroll "fake news" and conceding the Bezos-owned publication may have engaged in defamation by smearing numerous websites - Zero Hedge included - with patently false and unsubstantiated allegations.

It was the closest the Washington Post would come to formally retracting the story, which has now been thoroughly discredited not only by outside commentators, but by its own editor.

The [apended note](#) in question:

*Editor's Note:* The Washington Post on Nov. 24 published a story on the work of four sets of researchers who have examined what they say are Russian propaganda efforts to undermine American democracy and interests. One of them was PropOrNot, a group that insists on public anonymity, which issued a report identifying more than 200 websites that, in its view, wittingly or unwittingly published or echoed Russian propaganda. A number of those sites have objected to being included on PropOrNot's list, and some of the sites, as well as others not on the list, have publicly challenged the group's methodology and conclusions. **The Post, which did not name any of the sites, does not itself vouch for the validity of PropOrNot's findings regarding any individual media outlet, nor did the article purport to do so. Since publication of The Post's story, PropOrNot has removed some sites from its list.**

As The [Washingtonian notes](#), the implicit concession follows intense and rising criticism of the article over the past two weeks. It was ["rife with obviously reckless and unproven allegations."](#) Intercept reporters Glenn Greenwald and Ben Norton wrote, noting that PropOrNot, one of the groups whose research was cited in Timberg's piece, "anonymous cowards." One of the sites PropOrNot cited as Russian-influenced was the Drudge Report.

The piece's description of some sharers of bogus news as "useful idiots" could ["theoretically include anyone on any social-media platform who shares news based on a click-bait headline,"](#) Mathew Ingram wrote for Fortune.

But the biggest issue was PropOrNot itself. As Adrian Chen [wrote for the New Yorker](#), its methods were themselves suspect, hinting at counter-Russian propaganda - ostensibly with Ukrainian origins - and verification of its work was nearly impossible. Chen wrote "the prospect of legitimate dissenting voices being labelled fake news or Russian propaganda by mysterious groups of ex-government employees, with the help of a national newspaper, is even scarier."

Criticism culminated this week when the ["Naked capitalism" blog threatened](#) to sue the Washington Post, demanding a retraction.

Now, at least, the "national newspaper" has taken some responsibility, however the key question remains: by admitting it never vetted its primary source, whose biased and conflicted "work" smeared hundreds of websites, *this one included*, just how is the Washington Post any different from the "fake



news" it has been deriding on a daily basis ever since its endorsed presidential candidate lost the elections?

## Facebook Already Blocking Links to “Fake News”

Despite Washington Post admitting its "fake news" exposé was based on shoddy research

[Paul Joseph Watson](#) | Infowars.com -



**Facebook is already blocking links to so-called “fake news” stories, despite the Washington Post being forced to admit that its own definitive article on “fake news” was based on shoddy research.**

A Twitter user revealed how he tried to post an Alex Jones Channel video about ‘PizzaGate’ on Facebook but was blocked from doing so because Facebook characterized the YouTube link as “unsafe”.

This suggests that more than just blocking alleged “fake news” websites, Facebook is censoring certain topics from being posted altogether.

[@MarkDice @nytimes](#) Facebook's clamping down on Fake News for you New York Times! Tried to post [#pizzagate](#) hot tub link today but it's no-go.  
[pic.twitter.com/DYsRMkOLyX](https://pic.twitter.com/DYsRMkOLyX)

— Jock Doubleday (@jockdoubleday) [December 8, 2016](#)

The social network giant also appears keen to comply with a [European Union demand](#) that all “fake news,” which was listed on a par with hate speech and jihadist propaganda, be censored within 24 hours.

As we [reported yesterday](#), after pressure from academics, Google is also de-listing information pertaining to criticism of Islam and information about black people being overrepresented in crime figures (which is an objective fact).

The notion of social media websites blocking content they arbitrarily decide to be “fake news” is chilling, especially given that the Washington Post has now been [forced to admit](#) that its vaunted “fake news” exposé was itself fake after massive blowback.

“A lengthy editor’s note appeared on top of the original article in which the editor not only distances the WaPo from the “experts” quoted in the original article whose “work” served as the basis for the entire article (and which became the most read WaPo story the day it was published) but also admits the Post could not “vouch for the validity of PropOrNot’s finding regarding any individual media outlet”, in effect admitting the entire story may have been, drumroll “fake news” and conceding the Bezos-owned publication may have engaged in defamation by smearing numerous websites – Zero Hedge included – with patently false and unsubstantiated allegations,” [reports Zero Hedge](#).

Given that the first list of “fake news” websites was also [completely debunked](#) as the bias-driven musings of a far-left social justice warrior, what credibility does the mainstream media have left in lecturing everyone else as to what constitutes “fake news”?

Get the REAL list of fake news websites [right here](#).

Dear mainstream media: Calling everyone apart from yourself "fake news" is kind of a hint that you just want to silence your competition.

— Paul Joseph Watson (@PrisonPlanet) [December 8, 2016](#)